

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,932	09/18/2003	Chris Kirmse	1361032-2075.2	6591	
38880 Yahoo! Inc.			EXAMINER		
c/o Frommer	Lawrence & Haug LLI	LIM, SENG HENG			
745 Fifth Ave NEW YORK.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3714		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/06/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/665,932	KIRMSE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SENG H. LIM	3714		

	SENG H. LIW	3714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 26 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavt, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	, and portion to rophy oxprisenternal attention and the manning date of the manning date of						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date whave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of thes set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any rephy must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better The not deemed to place the application in better The not deemed to place the application in better The not deemed to place the application in better The not deemed to place the application in better The not deemed to place the application in better The not deemed to place the application in better The not deemed to place the application in better The not deemed to place the application in better The not deemed to place the application in better The not deemed to place the application in better The not deemed	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);					
appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		.,,					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	it canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	planation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.8-10.17-20.23.24.28-33 and 35-105.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	the face and the date of fire a block		ha antonia				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:							
/Melba Bumgarner/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references. Applicant only stated "Clearly, authenticating a source of a message is a substantially different process than the claimed validation of data within a message with a separate data server." Furthermore, the amendment to the claims are entered to correct the 35 U.S.C 101 rejection.

NOTE: claim 31, the status identifier is incorrect. It should read "Previously Presented".